



2611

Docket No. UV-57

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : William L. Thomas et al.
Application No. : 09/139,798 Confirmation No.: 5738
Filed : August 25, 1998
For : PROGRAM GUIDE SYSTEM WITH MONITORING OF
ADVERTISEMENT USAGE AND USER ACTIVITIES
Group Art Unit : 2611
Examiner : Son P. Huynh

New York, New York
December 31, 2003

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2004

Technology Center 2600

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith: ☐ a Preliminary Amendment;
☒ an Reply to Restriction Requirement; ☐ a substitute
Specification; ☐ a Declaration; ☐ a Supplemental
Declaration; ☐ a Power of Attorney; ☐ an Associate Power
of Attorney; ☐ formal drawings; to be filed in the above-
identified patent application.

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS

☒ A fee for additional claims is not required.

[] A fee for additional claims is required.

The additional fee has been calculated as shown below:

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	46	-	126	* =	0	X \$ 18 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2	-	16	** =	0	X \$ 86 =	\$ 0.00
FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						+ \$290 =	\$

* If less than 20, insert 20. TOTAL \$ 0.00
** If less than 3, insert 3.

[] A check in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the filing fee is transmitted herewith.

[X] The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16, in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or credit any overpayment of same, to deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

[] Please charge \$_____ to Deposit Account No. 06-1075 in payment of the filing fee. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

EXTENSION FEE

[] The following extension is applicable to the Response filed herewith; [] \$110.00 extension fee for response within first month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a);

☐ \$420.00 extension fee for response within second month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); ☒ \$950.00 extension fee for response within third month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); ☐ \$1,480.00 extension fee for response within fourth month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); \$2,010.00 within fifth month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

☐ A check in the amount of ☐ \$110.00; ☐ \$420.00; ☐ \$950.00; ☐ \$1,480.00; ☐ \$2,010.00 in payment of the extension fee is transmitted herewith.

☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or to credit any overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

☐ Please charge the ☐ \$110.00; ☐ \$420.00; ☐ \$950.00; ☐ \$1,480.00; ☐ \$2,010.00; extension fee to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

I hereby certify that this
Correspondence is being
deposited with the U.S.
Postal Service as First
Class Mail in an envelope
Addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

December 31, 2003

Lillian Garcia

Lillian Garcia
Signature of Person Signing



Philip R. Pol
Registration No. 51,176
Agent for Applicants
FISH & NEAVE
Customer No. 1473
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1105
Tel.: (212) 596-9000



#18
W. L. Laper
1/14/04

PATENTS
Attorney Docket No. UV-57

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicants : William L. Thomas et al.
Application No. : 09/139,798 Confirmation No. : 5738
Filed : August 25, 1998
For : PROGRAM GUIDE SYSTEM WITH MONITORING
OF ADVERTISEMENT USAGE AND USER
ACTIVITIES
Group Art Unit : 2611
Examiner : Son P. Huynh

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

RECEIVED

JAN 06 2004

Technology Center 2600

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Applicants hereby reply to the Office Action dated December 3, 2003, which did not address the merits of the above-identified patent application, but required restriction of the application to one of two inventions.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this Reply.